In vivo serial joint space measurements during dynamic loading in a canine model of osteoarthritis
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Summary

Objective: To devise a reliable, sensitive method to measure joint space in vivo during dynamic loading. Additionally, to determine if dynamic joint space changes were related to the severity of long-term cartilage damage.

Design: Subjects were 23 adult foxhounds (18 experimental, 5 control). Experimental subjects had surgically transected cranial cruciate ligaments (CCL). Dynamic joint space was serially measured in vivo over 2 years using a unique high speed stereo radiographic system in combination with subject-specific computed tomography reconstructions.

Results: Dynamic joint space was measured in vivo with a within-day precision of 0.09 mm. Half of the experimental subjects developed minor articular cartilage damage and the other half developed severe articular cartilage damage in the medial knee compartment. Joint space during treadmill running increased significantly in the minor damage group in both the medial (+0.61 mm, P = 0.036) and lateral (+0.84 mm, P = 0.002) compartments of the knee. Dynamic joint space in the severe damage group did not increase significantly on either the medial (+0.27 mm, P = 0.408) or lateral (+0.44 mm, P = 0.199) side. The majority of the change in joint space occurred the first year after CCL transection. Medial meniscus damage was related to severity of medial articular cartilage damage (tau = 0.447, P = 0.003). The minor damage group developed 73% of all osteophytes noted at dissection.

Conclusions: This technique is a precise tool for measuring joint space serially in vivo under dynamic loading conditions. The data suggest decreased severity in long-term articular cartilage damage is related to: osteophyte formation, less severe medial meniscus damage and increased joint space the first 12 months after injury.
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Introduction

Joint space narrowing is commonly used to infer articular cartilage loss, and is the recommended outcome measure for anatomical progression of disease1,2. However, reliable, sensitive measurements of cartilage loss during the progression of osteoarthritis (OA) have been difficult to obtain. In the past, serial measurements of joint space narrowing were unreliable due to the difficulty in precisely repositioning patients and radiographic equipment3,4. This unreliability led to differences in joint space measurements that were not necessarily the result of cartilage changes, but instead were likely related to knee flexion and the inherent differential thickness in articular cartilage in the femoral and tibial condyles5,6. However, a more recently developed protocol for standardized knee radiographs yields increased reliability in static joint space measurements5,6,7.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has increasingly been used as an alternative to static radiographs for identifying and quantifying OA8,9. MRI measurements of articular cartilage volume have been shown to be highly repeatable10,11. However, it has been suggested that serial measurements of total cartilage volume may be unable to track the structural changes that occur with OA12.

Conventional radiographic and MRI measurements still have shortcomings when measuring joint space. Most notably, joint space data are not collected as the joint is dynamically loaded. Thus, conventional radiographic and MRI protocols measure joint space along only one line of contact and do not account for differences in articular cartilage thickness over the entire contact region during active motion. Additionally, the response of articular cartilage and the meniscus to dynamic loads such as those encountered during walking and running cannot be quantified from static radiographs and MRI.

The present study serially measured joint space in canines. Dogs have long been used to study joint degeneration and the development of OA13. Transection of the cranial cruciate ligament (CCL), analogous to the anterior cruciate ligament in humans, leads to joint degeneration, cartilage loss and the development of OA14–17. It is believed that CCL transection leads to instability and eventually promotes mechanically induced OA18, resulting in morphological and biochemical changes that are indistinguishable from those of the natural disease19. The rate at which OA develops in dogs appears to be highly variable, as it is in humans, and the reaction to the instability differs markedly among different anatomical areas of the joint22. Previous research has documented ground reaction force changes23 as well as kinematic changes in canine gait after CCL transection24–26. However, none of these
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measures were shown to be related to the rate or magnitude of cartilage damage. The purpose of this research was twofold: first, to devise a reliable, sensitive method to measure joint space in vivo while the joint was dynamically loaded; and second, to determine if serial changes in joint space measurements were related to the severity of long-term cartilage damage in the CCL deficient dog model.

Methods

Our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal testing and procedures prior to commencing the study. Twenty-three skeletally mature (2–3 years old) female foxhounds served as subjects. A minimum of three 1.6 mm diameter tantalum beads were implanted into both the right distal femur and proximal tibia at the beginning of the study. Testing commenced 4 weeks after bead implant surgery and consisted of running on a treadmill set at 1.5 m/s. During the treadmill running, the dogs were X-rayed using a unique stereo radiographic imaging system capable of tracking the implanted beads with an accuracy of better than \( \pm 0.10 \) mm (Tashman and Anderst\textsuperscript{27}). All dogs underwent a second surgery after the first test session. Eighteen dogs received a complete transection of the CCL, while five dogs underwent a sham operation in which the CCL was exposed but not transected. Dogs returned to full activity within 2 months after the second surgery and received regular exercise throughout the study (30 min of treadmill running three times per week). The dogs were tested running on the treadmill nine more times (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20 and 24 months after the second surgery). Three trials of treadmill running were collected during each test session, and the average of the three trials was used as the measurement for the test session.

Two synchronized video cameras collected X-ray images at 250 frames/s starting 0.2 s prior to pawstrike and ending 0.4 s after pawstrike. The two-dimensional locations of the implanted beads were determined for each camera frame using custom designed software. The two-dimensional bead locations were input to commercial software (Eva, Motion Analysis Corp.) for tracking and three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction. The resulting 3D bead coordinates were smoothed using a fourth-order zero-lag Butterworth low pass filter with a cutoff frequency of 25 Hz. Data from pawstrike to 0.20 s after pawstrike were included in the final data analysis.

Dynamic joint space was determined by finding the minimum distance between subchondral bone surfaces for each frame of data. To determine these joint space values, computed tomography (CT) data were collected at the completion of the study (1 mm slices, 0.488 mm \times 0.488 mm resolution). The CT slices were reconstructed into 3D wireframe meshes consisting of triangular elements\textsuperscript{28} [Fig. 1(a)]. Each bone surface triangle’s centroid and area (<1 mm\(^2\), on average) were calculated. The CT

![Fig. 1. Reconstructed bones and distance maps. (a) The surface mesh for the femur (top) and tibia (bottom). (b) The articulating surfaces of the femur (top) and tibia (bottom), with the joint "opened up". Surface triangles were colored according to their minimum distance to the opposing bone surface. Color variations represent 0.5 mm changes in distance between subchondral bone surfaces, starting with surfaces \( \leq 0.5 \) mm apart colored red and ending with surfaces \( \leq 4.5 \) mm apart colored dark blue.]
scans provided the locations of the beads within each 3D reconstructed bone. Combining the 3D bead coordinates within the CT reconstructions with the 3D bead coordinates obtained from the radiographic image pairs, the bone reconstructions were located and oriented precisely as they were during the treadmill running. The minimum distance between opposing subchondral bone surface triangle centroids was then calculated for each frame of data [Fig. 1(b)]. The intercondylar eminence on the tibia and the region between the femoral condyles were not included in the minimum distance calculations. Although these regions were occasionally close to the opposing articulating bone surface, it was assumed that significant load bearing contact did not occur in these regions. More details on this method have been previously published.29

The distance between subchondral bone surfaces continually changed as the applied load and joint orientation changed. A single parameter, called the functional joint space (FJS) score, was created to quantify this continuously changing relationship. Determining FJS scores was a three-step process. First, the minimum distance between subchondral bone surfaces was determined at each triangular element for each frame of data [Fig. 2(a)]. Second, the overall minimum distance to the opposing bone surface was determined for each triangular element, taking into account all frames of data (the minimum of all the instantaneous minimums). This resulted in an overall minimum distance map [Fig. 2(b)]. Third, the overall minimum distance map was used to calculate the FJS score. FJS scores were calculated for each compartment (medial and lateral) on each bone (femur and tibia) for all dogs on all test days [Fig. 2(c)]. The FJS score was calculated by creating an ordered list of the triangular surface elements from the overall minimum distance map. The ordered list started with the elements closest to the opposing surface [red in Fig. 2(b)] and ended with the elements farthest from the opposing surface [blue in Fig. 2(b)]. Each triangular element had an associated surface area and distance value. While proceeding down the ordered list of triangular elements, the area of each element was added to the total surface area. This process was continued until the total surface area reached 200 mm². At that point, the average distance value of the triangular elements making up the closest 200 mm² area was determined (the distance value of each triangular element was weighted by the surface area of each element). This average distance value of the closest 200 mm² area was the FJS score. A smaller FJS score indicated less joint space.

A surface area of 200 mm² was selected because the variability in FJS score using this region was low, it was big enough to include relatively large regions of cartilage loss, it

Fig. 2. Calculating FJS score. (a) Minimum distance maps for five instants of the analyzed motion. Time t = 0.00 s was the time of pawstrike. (b) The overall minimum distance map, showing the closest values for every surface triangle during the entire motion (pawstrike to 0.20 s after pawstrike). (c) FJS scores using the closest 200 mm² area within each compartment (medial and lateral femur; medial and lateral tibia). Color scale is the same as Fig. 1(b), plus white triangles indicate overlap of subchondral joint surfaces.
was large enough to account for cartilage thickness
differences in various areas of the joint\textsuperscript{22}, and it was less
likely than smaller areas to have the score affected by small
irregularities in the calculated close-contact region (such as
along the slope of the intercondylar eminence on the tibia,
an unlikely weightbearing region).

Precision was measured by calculating the within-day
standard deviation of all FJS scores. This included
measurements from all 10 test days of all 23 dogs.
Typically, three trials were included per dog per day. The
effect of time on medial and lateral FJS scores relative to
pre-transection data was assessed with repeated measures
ANOVA\textsuperscript{s}. Best-fit lines were calculated for both the medial
FJS and lateral FJS data for each subject for the second to
twelfth month post-injury, and the slopes of these lines were
tested by ANOVA for differences among groups. Least
significant difference tests were performed for all
post hoc tests. All statistical tests were two-tailed with significance
set at $P < 0.05$.

A small bias within each CT scan reconstruction required
statistical comparisons to be made within-dog and relative
to pre-transection data. CT scan slice spacing of 1 mm
resulted in a bias in the reconstruction of the bone of up to
1 mm, depending on how close the final slice was to the
actual end of the subchondral bone. This bias likely varied
with each bone CT scan. However, the same subject-
specific CT reconstruction was used on each test date. In
this way, all data could be compared to the pre-transection
data within each dog and changes could be accurately
measured. Due to the possible bias in CT reconstructions, it
was inappropriate to compare actual FJS values between
subjects, as the amount of subchondral bone skipped
between the last CT scan slice and the actual end of the
bone was unknown.

At sacrifice, tibia and femur articulating surfaces were
stained with India ink to identify location, size and severity
of cartilage damage. Photos of all articulating surfaces (stained
and unstained) were viewed by one of the authors (CML) and
graded on a five-point scale according to cartilage damage,
similar to that which has been used previously\textsuperscript{30} (0 = no
damage; 1 = visible surface damage/fibrillation; 2 = small
regions of thinned cartilage, no full thickness defects;
3 = large regions of thinned cartilage and/or small full
thickness defects; 4 = large regions of full thickness
cartilage loss). Grades were recorded for each compartment
(medial and lateral) on each bone (Fig. 3, top). Overall,
cartilage damage variability was small on the lateral side.
Thus, dogs were grouped for statistical tests according to the
extent of medial compartment damage, determined by
adding the medial tibia and femur cartilage damage grades.
Dogs with medial compartment grades (femur + tibia) less than 2 were in the no damage group \((n = 5; \text{ all control dogs})\), medial compartment grades from 2 to 4 \((n = 9)\) were in the minor damage group and medial compartment grades from 5 to 8 \((n = 9)\) made up the major damage group.

Meniscal status was noted at time of dissection and photos of tibia articular surfaces were taken prior to removing the meniscus. A meniscal status grade was determined for each compartment using dissection notes and photos (Fig. 3, bottom). The criteria for menisci grading were identical to a scale used previously\(^3\) (0 = normal; 1 = longitudinal surface striations; 2 = longitudinal surface tears; 3 = penetrating longitudinal tears producing a loose piece of tissue attached at both ends (a bucket handle tear); 4 = transverse tears producing a loose tissue flap, fibrillation of the entire meniscus, or absence of the meniscus).

Kendall’s tau correlations were used to calculate the relationship between articular cartilage damage (femur + tibia articular damage score) and meniscal status score for both the medial and lateral compartments.

Osteophyte formation was noted at time of dissection. Osteophyte location (medial/lateral) and associated bone (femur/tibia) were recorded.

Results

Femur and tibia FJS scores were highly correlated within each compartment (medial \(r = 0.94\); lateral \(r = 0.88\)). Due to the high correlations, average values were used for the medial compartment (the average of the medial femur and medial tibia score) and the lateral compartment (the average of the lateral femur and lateral tibia score) in all statistical tests.

The within-day variability in FJS score for all 23 dogs covering 10 test sessions for each dog was 0.09 mm for both the lateral and medial compartments.

Prior to surgery, medial compartment FJS scores overall averaged 0.60 ± 0.73 mm (mean ± SD) while lateral compartment FJS scores averaged 1.45 ± 0.85 mm. Medial FJS scores in the control group decreased slightly \((-0.24 \text{ mm}, \(P = 0.490\)) over the 2-year test period (Fig. 4). Dogs with minor articular cartilage damage showed increased FJS scores in the medial compartment (0.61 mm, \(P = 0.036\)), with FJS scores on the 20- and 24-month post-transection test dates significantly different from scores at the 2- and 4-month post-transection tests (Fig. 4). Dogs that displayed severe cartilage damage at the end of the study developed a small \((0.27 \text{ mm}, \(P = 0.408\)), non-significant increase in FJS in the medial compartment relative to pre-surgery (Fig. 4).

Lateral FJS scores increased slightly \((0.18 \text{ mm}, \(P = 0.440\)) in the control group (Fig. 5). Subjects with minor cartilage damage exhibited a decrease in FJS in the lateral compartment 2 months after surgery \((-0.32 \text{ mm}, \(P = 0.219\)), followed by significant increases in lateral FJS starting 8 months post-transection \((P = 0.007)\) and continuing until the end of the study \((P < 0.001)\). Dogs with severe cartilage damage showed an initial decrease in FJS in the lateral compartment 2 months after surgery \((-0.41 \text{ mm}, \(P = 0.202\)) and then a consistent increase in FJS through the 16-month post-transection test date (Fig. 5).

The majority of the change in FJS recorded in the experimental dogs occurred the first year after CCL transection. However, the rate of change in FJS in the medial compartment the first 12 months after surgery was not significantly different between the severe and minor damage groups \((P = 0.07)\). Likewise, the rate of change in lateral compartment FJS was not significantly different between severe and minor damage groups \((P = 0.08)\) from the second to twelfth month post-surgery.

Severe medial meniscal damage was found in 14 of the 18 experimental dogs in this study. Medial meniscal damage was related to the severity of medial articular surface damage (Table I) (Kendall’s tau = 0.447, \(P = 0.003\)). Only three experimental dogs developed lateral meniscal destruction. There was not a statistically significant relationship between lateral meniscal damage and the severity of lateral compartment articular surface damage (Table II) (Kendall’s tau = 0.225, \(P = 0.132\)).
No osteophytes were noted in any of the control dogs or on any of the contralateral limbs for any dogs. Nineteen of the 26 osteophyte notations pertained to dogs in the minor damage group. Fifteen osteophytes were noted as being associated with the femur, 11 with the tibia.

**Discussion**

A significant limitation to static joint space measurements is that it is not possible to measure meniscal and articular cartilage response to dynamic loads. Additionally, during a static measurement, minimum joint space is calculated only for the regions in contact at one particular joint orientation. Similarly, measuring joint space at a specific instant (or joint angle) during a dynamic motion quantifies the joint space at one single instant or one configuration. Selection of the most appropriate instant to study may be problematic in the knee in particular, as joint space does not necessarily change simultaneously in the two compartments. The new concept presented here, FJS, quantifies minimum joint space during the impact and loading phase of dynamic joint motion and accounts for different surface regions coming into contact and the response of articular cartilage and meniscus to load. The result is a true 3D minimum distance map of all contacting surfaces.

As noted in the results, the average precision in FJS scores for all 10 test sessions of all 23 dogs was 0.09 mm. This result is comparable to the most precise static joint space measurements in humans where the median standard deviation in minimum medial joint space width for test–retest film pairs was 0.08 mm (Dupuis et al.6) and 0.09 mm (Buckland-Wright et al.7).

**Fig. 5.** Change in lateral FJS scores (± standard error) relative to pre-CCL transection.

![Change in Lateral FJS Relative to Pre-Surgery](image)

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medial Compartment Meniscal Damage and Articular Cartilage Damage Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medial Meniscal Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articular Cartilage Damage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- = control group, minor articular cartilage damage,
- = minor articular cartilage damage.

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral Compartment Meniscal Damage and Articular Cartilage Damage Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Meniscal Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articular Cartilage Damage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- = control group, minor articular cartilage damage,
- = minor articular cartilage damage, ▲ = major articular cartilage damage.
Medial cartilage thickness (femur + tibia) in 40-week-old beagles has been found to range from approximately 1.48 mm to 1.64 mm and lateral cartilage thickness ranged from approximately 0.95 mm to 1.08 mm (Kiviranta et al. 32). Cartilage thickness on the weightbearing surface of adult dogs 36 months post-traction has been measured to be between 0.3 mm and 1.2 mm on the medial femoral condyle, and between 0.5 mm and 1.8 mm on the lateral femoral condyle. The present data are more closely in agreement with the latter findings: our technique found the medial FJS to be consistently smaller than the lateral FJS, and thus it can be inferred that the medial compartment cartilage and meniscus was thinner and/or more compressed than the lateral compartment cartilage and meniscus during dynamic loading.

The data in Figs. 4 and 5 indicate the medial FJS slightly decreased while the lateral FJS slightly increased for the control dogs over the 2-year test period. These changes over 2 years were not statistically significant and the trends are likely the result of the normal aging process.

Numerous researchers have previously found evidence of cartilage hypertrophy in unstable canine knees. Cartilage hypertrophy may result from increased hydration and swelling, increased synthesis of matrix components, increased biosynthesis, perhaps mediated through an increase in the amount of growth factors or other anabolic factors, and bony remodeling associated with the expansion of femoral condyles. Increased cartilage thickness or volume has been found in CCL transected joints 6 weeks, 8 months, 36 months post-traction. Changes in FJS score over time (Figs. 4 and 5) as assessed in vivo under dynamic loading and consistent with those previously published results. An increase in FJS was evident in both the medial and lateral compartments after CCL transection. The initial decrease in lateral FJS following ligament transection was likely due to the drastically changed kinematics and the accompanying change in regions of close contact at the articulating surfaces rather than an immediate decrease in articular cartilage or meniscal thickness. The increased FJS scores in the medial (0.61 mm) and lateral compartments (0.84 mm) in the minor damage group were substantial considering the peak cartilage thickness (femur + tibia) in the weightbearing canine knee is likely less than 2.5 mm.

Figures 4 and 5 reveal that for each of the CCL transected groups there were two phases in response to CCL transection. The early phase lasted from transection to 12 or 16 months post-traction. During this phase there was a fairly steady increase in FJS, and the change reached significance in the minor damage group by the eighth month after transection. The second phase began 12 or 16 months after transection and was characterized by relatively constant FJS scores in each compartment. Several researchers have noted that cartilage hypertrophy appeared to be an initial event preceding cartilage breakdown. The present results suggest 12 to 16 months post-traction is the point at which joint space decreasing factors (such as cartilage breakdown) begin to match the rate of joint space increasing factors (such as hypertrophy).

One year after CCL transection the minor damage group had significantly increased FJS relative to the first post-traction measurements in both the medial and lateral compartments. The major damage group, on the other hand, did not significantly increase FJS relative to the first post-traction tests during the 2 years of testing. These results imply that the biologic response to CCL transection during the first year post-traction is associated with the severity of long-term articular cartilage damage.

Factors that may have contributed to slow the increase in FJS after CCL transection include a deteriorated meniscus, increased compliance of the articular cartilage during compression, and articular cartilage breakdown. Differences between medial and lateral meniscal damage may explain both the greater medial compartment articular cartilage damage and the smaller increase in FJS in the medial compartment (compared to the lateral compartment) measured in the experimental dogs. Medial meniscal deterioration led to less medial joint space, and likely increased pressure on the articular cartilage within the medial compartment. The increased pressure on the medial compartment may have reduced the effects of hypertrophy and swelling, and increased the rate of articular cartilage degeneration. The result of this sequence of events was full thickness cartilage loss on the medial side in some subjects. FJS scores cannot pinpoint the time at which the medial meniscus reached the point where it was no longer functionally useful, however previous research has found that medial meniscus damage reached the level of grade 3 or 4 in 83% of dogs after 32 weeks following CCL transection.

The long-term increase in FJS score for the minor damage group suggests that the cartilage hypertrophy that followed CCL transection was an actual increase in functional thickness. The measurements presented here were obtained in vivo under dynamic loading. Thus, the present results demonstrate that even if the hypertrophied cartilage was more compliant, the functional thickness still increased in dogs that developed only minor articular cartilage damage.

There are limitations to the FJS score calculations presented here. First, the FJS method cannot precisely determine the magnitude of each joint space altering factor, such as hypertrophy, swelling, meniscal deterioration or articular cartilage loss. Only an overall change in FJS can be determined using the FJS method. Second, CT scans were acquired at the end of the present study. Thus, osteophytes were included in all CT reconstructions, even if the bone alterations did not develop until the latter portion of the study. Inclusion of osteophytes on test dates when they had not yet formed resulted in lower FJS scores only if the osteophytes were in the region of closest contact. The effect of this limitation can be reduced in future studies if CT scans are collected both at the beginning and end of the study.

Further investigation on dynamic joint space data may produce additional insights into the development of OA. A future objective is to identify specific regional changes in functional space within each compartment. As previous research has noted, the hypertrophic response varies significantly among different areas of the joint, with cartilage increasing less in the constantly loaded surface regions. Regional FJS changes may be obtained by calculating the differences between the first and last test overall distance maps or by dividing each articular surface into subregions and identifying changes in dynamic joint space within each subregion. Additionally, a mechanical description of the interaction between joint surfaces, including the magnitude and direction of sliding and rolling contact within each compartment, may provide an explanation as to why articular cartilage and the meniscus change in specific anatomic locations.

In conclusion, the FJS method to quantify dynamic joint space in vivo produced repeatable measurements with low precision and consistent results. The FJS method provided an objective and quantifiable way to measure dynamic joint space changes in vivo.
variability, making it a useful tool for serial studies. Additionally, unlike previously published studies, these joint space measurements were acquired in vivo as the joint was dynamically loaded and moved through a range of motion. Thus, the changing orientation between the articulating surfaces, the variable forces acting on the joint during loading, and the deformation of the cartilage and meniscus were all taken into account. The results suggest decreased severity in long-term articular cartilage damage is associated with increased osteophyte formation and less severe medial meniscus damage. Additionally, dogs with minor long-term damage did not increase FJS. Thus, adaptations that protect the cartilage (or conversely damage that eventually destroys the cartilage) occur relatively quickly after CCL transection.
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